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#### Abstract

Recently, Freyhult, Rej and Staudacher (FRS) proposed an integral equation determining the leading logarithmic term of the anomalous dimension of $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ twistoperators in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM for large Lorentz spin $M$ and twist $L$ at fixed $j=L / \log M$. We discuss the large $j$ limit of the FRS equation. This limit can be matched with the fast long string limit of $A d S_{5} \times S^{5}$ superstring perturbation theory at all couplings. In particular, a certain part of the classical and one-loop string result is known to be protected and can be computed in the weakly coupled large- $j$ limit of the FRS equation. We present various analytical and numerical results supporting agreement at one and two loops in the gauge theory.
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## 1. Introduction

The anomalous dimensions of Wilson twist operators [1] are relevant perturbative quantities which appear in various phenomenological problems in the study of QCD strong interactions. A typical example is the operator product expansion analysis of deep inelastic scattering [8]. In that context, the close relation between parton splitting functions and anomalous dimensions suggests various physical insights valid in special kinematical limits. In particular, the behavior of anomalous dimensions for large Lorentz spin $M$ at fixed twist $L$ probes the quasi-elastic limit where the Bjorken variable is close to unity $x_{\mathrm{Bj}} \rightarrow 1$. In this regime the most singular part of the splitting functions is due to soft gluon emission and is universal. For the leading twist 2 operators, these remarks translate into the following well-known prediction for the anomalous dimension $\gamma$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=2 \Gamma_{\text {cusp }}(g) \log M+\mathcal{O}\left(M^{0}\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g^{2}=\frac{\lambda}{16 \pi^{2}}$ and $\lambda=N_{c} g_{\mathrm{YM}}^{2}$ is the 't Hooft planar coupling $\lambda$. The non trivial function $\Gamma_{\text {cusp }}(g)$ is the so-called cusp anomalous dimension (3].

The logarithmic scaling in eq. (1.1) is quite general and applies in particular to the superconformal finite $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM theory where integrability 4$]$ and AdS/CFT duality [5-7] can be exploited to gain (much) additional information. This approach is clearly interesting in itself due to the theoretical relevance of the $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM theory. Besides, one can also argue that large $x_{\mathrm{Bj}}$ physics can be related to the QCD one, being mostly related to the shared gauge sector. A recent example of this strategy is the analysis of a generalized Gribov-Lipatov reciprocity [8-10] for various twist-2 and twist-3 Wilson operators 11-15.

The current knowledge of $\Gamma_{\text {cusp }}(g)$ in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM is quite complete. It can be extracted from the anomalous dimensions of $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ operators. The weak-coupling perturbative series can be computed at all-orders by a rather simple expansion of the so-called BES equation [16. The result is in agreement with the most advanced available field theoretical computations [17]. The problem of computing the strong coupling expansion of the BES equation is more difficult and after intense activity 18] has been impressively solved in the remarkable paper 19. Again, there is full agreement with the two-loop analysis of the dual superstring theory on $A d S_{5} \times S^{5}$ [20-23].

The BES equation is derived by considering operators with an arbitrary finite twist $L$ and taking the large spin limit $M \rightarrow \infty$. If the twist increases with the spin $M$ then one expects a richer landscape of scaling behaviors. A simple one-loop illustration of this general statement can be found in 24]. It is shown that when $M \gg L$, one must still distinguish between two quite different regimes characterized by extreme values of the gauge theory parameter $\xi$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{1}{L} \log \frac{M}{L} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, the minimal anomalous dimension has the following leading contributions

$$
\gamma(g, M)= \begin{cases}8 g^{2} \log M, & \xi \gg 1  \tag{1.3}\\ 8 g^{2} \frac{1}{L} \log ^{2} \frac{M}{L}, & \xi \ll 1\end{cases}
$$

The first case is covered by the BES equation. The second case with the characteristic double logarithm enhancement is beyond its reach. The appearance of these two regimes is in quite similarity with the semiclassical string calculation of [21] as we shell discuss in a moment.

In 25], Freyhult, Rej and Staudacher (FRS) proposed to analyze the logarithmic behavior of anomalous dimensions in the following limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
L, M \rightarrow \infty, \quad j=\frac{L}{\log M}=\text { fixed } \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this limit FRS prove that a logarithmic scaling is observed once more. The prefactor now depends on both $g$ and $j$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(g, j)=f(g, j) \log M+\mathcal{O}\left(M^{0}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(g, j)$ is a generalization of the cusp anomalous dimension

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(g, 0) \equiv f(g)=2 \Gamma_{\mathrm{cusp}}(g) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

An integral equation analogous to the BES equation, but valid for all $g$ and $j$, has been derived in 25. Of course, a great deal of interesting results can be obtained by applying to the FRS equation the methods which have been already sharpened in the case $j=0$. In particular, this means that the FRS equation can be considered in the following two opposite limits.

1. The fully weak limit. This is simply $g, j \rightarrow 0$. There seems to be no ambiguity in this double limit and it is convenient to first expand $f(g, j)$ around $j=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(g, j)=\sum_{n \geq 0} f_{n}(g) j^{n} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then expand each $f_{n}(g)$ around $g=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(g)=\sum_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_{n, k} g^{2 k} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients $\mathcal{F}_{n, k}$ have been computed in 25] where their explicit expression can be found as well as a discussion of various features, like for instance transcendentality uniformity.
2. The Alday-Maldacena limit. The Alday-Maldacena (AM) limit is a strong coupling limit defined by the general condition $g \rightarrow \infty$ with $j \ll g$ [26]. The scaling function $f(g, j)$ is described in this limit by the thermodynamical Bethe Ansatz equations of the non linear $O(6) \sigma$-model [27]. As explained in the beautiful analysis of [28] it is necessary to consider separately the two situations where $j \ll m$ or $j \gg m$ where $m$ is the dynamically generated mass gap 29]

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\frac{2^{3 / 4} \pi^{1 / 4}}{\Gamma(5 / 4)} g^{1 / 4} e^{-\pi g}(1+\mathcal{O}(1 / g)) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, the case $j \ll m \ll g$ predicts the large $g$ behavior of the functions $f_{n}(g)$ and can be summarized by the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(g, j)=-j+m^{2}\left[\frac{j}{m}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{24}\left(\frac{j}{m}\right)^{3}+\cdots\right] \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has been indeed recovered in the FRS equation in 28. For additional numerical and analytical confirmations of the expansion eq. (1.10) see 30, 31. Additional terms in the above series which represent the $\sigma$-model energy density can be found in 32. The other limit $m \ll j \ll g$ is also very interesting and is discussed in details in 28.
The above two limits are similar to those already considered for the cusp anomalous dimension since the parameter $j$ is used as a perturbative book-keeping device. This suggests to consider another new limit.
3. The large-j limit. A quite different and very interesting limit is obtained taking first the weak coupling perturbative expansion of $f(g, j)$ around $g=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(g, j)=\sum_{n \geq 0} f^{(n)}(j) g^{2 n} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $f^{(n)}(j)$ can be expanded around $j=0$ recovering the fully weak regime. On the other hand, one can look for the large $j$ behavior of $f^{(n)}(j)$. This large- $j$ limit turns out to be non trivial. Looking back at the analysis of [24], we see that for large $M$ and fixed $j$ we simply have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{1}{j} . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result eq. (1.3) can be nicely rewritten in a uniform way as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(1)}(j \ll 1)=8, \quad f^{(1)}(j \gg 1)=\frac{8}{j} . \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

These simple relations immediately suggest that the large- $j$ limit of the FRS equation is closely connected to the string theory calculations described first in [21] and later expanded in 33]. In particular, the string perturbative calculations admit a BMN-like expansion which is captured by the FRS equation in the large- $j$ limit. The comparison can be done at arbitrary coupling, thus going beyond eq. (1.11). This is important if one is interested in detecting universal dressing effects. In this paper, we exploit the fact that the BMN-like expansion contains some terms which are protected and can be computed in the weakly coupled gauge theory. This means that they can be matched by studying the large- $j$ expansion of the one and two-loop expansion of the FRS equation, a remarkable simplification. Thus, we analyze the large- $j$ limit by analytical and numerical methods and provide strong support for some of the predictions following from the computations in (33).

The plan of the work is the following. In section (2) we briefly recall a few basic facts about the so-called fast long string limit of the folded string solution. In section (3) we give a self-contained summary of the FRS equation. In section (4) we present the explicit twoloop hole density equation in Bethe roots space. In section (5) and section (6) we describe the analytical re-derivation of the one-loop large- $j$ limit at next-to-next-to-leading order and a few considerations about the similar analysis at two loops. Finally, in section (7) we show our numerical results supporting at two loops the predictions of [33].

## 2. The large- $j$ limit and the fast long string limit

In [21, 33], S. Frolov and A. A. Tseytlin compute the semiclassical expansion around the rotating folded string configuration extending the analysis of [20, 34] and including the string center of mass motion along a big circle of $S^{5}$. Their solution depends on the Lorentz spin $M$ and $\operatorname{SO}(6) \operatorname{spin} L$ to be identified with the quantum numbers of the $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ twist operators. The large $\lambda$ expansion of the energy takes the usual form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\underbrace{\sqrt{\lambda} \mathcal{E}_{0}\left(\frac{M}{\sqrt{\lambda}}, \frac{L}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)}_{E_{0}}+E_{1}\left(\frac{M}{\sqrt{\lambda}}, \frac{L}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an alternative derivation of the one-loop contribution see also [35]. The expansion eq. (2.1) can be considered in the long string limit which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { long string } \quad \text { both } 1 \ll \frac{M}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \text { and } \frac{L}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \ll \frac{M}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \text {. } \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This limit can be further refined in the two sub-cases defined by the additional conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { slow long string } & \frac{L}{\sqrt{\lambda}}
\end{array}<\log \frac{M}{\sqrt{\lambda}}, ~ 子 \quad \log \frac{M}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \ll \frac{L}{\sqrt{\lambda}} .
$$

An interpolating regime between these two cases is obtained by fixing the parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi L} \log \frac{M}{L} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The slow long string limit is reproduced for $x \gg 1$, the fast string limit for $x \ll 1$. The expansion of the energy in this second limit reads [33] (see 36] for a recent analysis of $E_{2}$ mainly at $x \ll 1$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{0}(x \ll 1)=M+L+\frac{\lambda}{2 \pi^{2} L} \log ^{2} \frac{M}{L}-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{8 \pi^{4} L^{3}} \log ^{4} \frac{M}{L}+\frac{\lambda^{3}}{16 \pi^{6} L^{5}} \log ^{6} \frac{M}{L}+\cdots \\
& E_{1}(x \ll 1)=-\frac{4 \lambda}{3 \pi^{3} L^{2}} \log ^{3} \frac{M}{L}+\frac{4 \lambda^{2}}{5 \pi^{5} L^{4}} \log ^{5} \frac{M}{L}+\frac{\lambda^{5 / 2}}{3 \pi^{6} L^{5}} \log ^{6} \frac{M}{L}+\cdots \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The above result is a string calculation based on the large $\lambda$ assumption. However, as discussed in [33, 37, 16], a general form of $E$ interpolating between weak and strong coupling is expected to take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=M+L+L \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{m \geq 0} c_{n m}(\lambda) \lambda^{n}\left(\frac{1}{L} \log \frac{M}{L}\right)^{2 n+m} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients $c_{n, m}(\lambda)$ have a regular expansion around $\lambda=0$ and a strong coupling expansion in inverse powers of $\sqrt{\lambda}$. Quite remarkably, some of them are protected and are thus genuine constants independent on $\lambda$. This follows from the comparison between string theory and gauge theory of the 1-loop and 2-loop leading and subleading corrections [3843] to the thermodynamical limit of similar circular string solutions. In particular, this is true for the coefficients

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{10}, c_{11}, c_{12}, c_{20}, \text { and } c_{21} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we can write the very explicit expansion (eq. (1.15) of 33])

$$
\begin{align*}
E=M+L[ & +\frac{\lambda}{L^{2}} \log ^{2} \frac{M}{L}\left(c_{10}+\frac{c_{11}}{L} \log \frac{M}{L}+\frac{c_{12}}{L^{2}} \log ^{2} \frac{M}{L}+\cdots\right)+  \tag{2.9}\\
& +\frac{\lambda^{2}}{L^{4}} \log ^{4} \frac{M}{L}\left(c_{20}+\frac{c_{21}}{L} \log \frac{M}{L}+\frac{c_{22}(\lambda)}{L^{2}} \log ^{2} \frac{M}{L}+\cdots\right)+ \\
& \left.+\frac{\lambda^{3}}{L^{6}} \log ^{6} \frac{M}{L}\left(c_{30}(\lambda)+\frac{c_{31}(\lambda)}{L} \log \frac{M}{L}+\frac{c_{32}(\lambda)}{L^{2}} \log ^{2} \frac{M}{L}+\cdots\right)\right]+\cdots,
\end{align*}
$$

A comparison with the one-loop string energy gives ( $c_{1,2}$ would require $E_{2}$ in the fast long string limit)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
c_{10}=+\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}}, & c_{20}=-\frac{1}{8 \pi^{4}}, \\
c_{11}=-\frac{4}{3 \pi^{3}}, & c_{21}=+\frac{4}{5 \pi^{5}}, \tag{2.10}
\end{array}
$$

The value $c_{30}(0)$ is discussed in [33] and is obtained by consistency of the string result with the universal dressing phase [33]. It should be $c_{30}(0)=\frac{1}{8 \pi^{6}}$.

Now, the crucial point is that we can take the generalized limit eq. (1.4) in the above interpolating expansion eq. (2.9). Doing so and assuming the above values for the protected coefficients we find the following prediction for the large- $j$ behavior of the FRS generalized scaling function

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{(1)}(j) & =\frac{8}{j}-\frac{64}{3 \pi} \frac{1}{j^{2}}+\cdots  \tag{2.11}\\
f^{(2)}(j) & =-\frac{32}{j^{3}}+\frac{1024}{5 \pi} \frac{1}{j^{4}}+\cdots,  \tag{2.12}\\
f^{(3)}(j) & =\frac{512}{j^{5}}+\cdots . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

The two terms in eq. (2.11) have actually been already obtained in (24 by working out the finite size corrections to the semiclassical expansion of the $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ invariant one-loop spin chain. The other two expansions are a higher loop test of the AdS/CFT correspondence and have not yet been computed in the gauge theory. We now discuss the confirmation of eqs. (2.11), (2.12) in the context of the large- $j$ FRS integral equation.

## 3. The FRS equation in brief

### 3.1 Setup

We consider $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ scaling operators of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(D^{M} Z^{L}\right)+\cdots, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is a specific component of the covariant derivative and $Z$ a scalar field of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM [44]. The omitted terms are analogous operators with the same number of derivatives and scalar fields. They are required to form an eigenstate of the dilatation operator. As usual, $L$ is also identified with the twist, i.e. the classical dimension minus the Lorentz spin, here equal to $M$.

The anomalous dimensions of scaling operators of the form eq. (3.1) can be organized in irreducible multiplets of the $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ algebra and the top states fill a band, see for instance [1, 45]. We can split the scaling dimension $\Delta(g)$ separating out the classical dimension and define the anomalous dimension $\gamma(g)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(g)=L+M+\gamma(g) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of the energy $E(g)$ of the $\mathfrak{s l}(2) \subset \mathfrak{p s u}(2,2 \mid 4)$ long-range integrable spin chain [4], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(g)=2 g^{2} E(g) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantity $E(g)$ is the energy level of an integrable system. Therefore, it is computed by solving Bethe Ansatz equations with suitable mode numbers identifying the relevant state in the above band.

In the FRS limit eq. (1.4), the Bethe roots $u$ of the minimal state in the band are described by a continuous distribution with density $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}(u)$ supported in the region $|u| \geq$ $c(g, j)$, where $c$ is some function of $g$ and $j$ that we shall call $g a p$ in the following. The label $m$ in $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}(u)$ stands for magnons, which is the standard name for the excitations of the integrable chain. Actually, the relevant quantity in the FRS limit is a specific contribution to $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}(u)$ called $\sigma(u)$ in 25 and representing a fluctuation component of the magnon density.

Remarkably, there is a dual description in terms of the complementary Bethe roots called usually holes. In the FRS limit, the holes are also described by a continuous distribution with density $\rho_{\mathrm{h}}(u) \equiv \rho(u)$ supported in the complementary region $|u| \leq c(g, j)$. The two dual descriptions are fully equivalent and can be connected by the simple relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \rho(u)=\frac{2}{\pi}-8 \sigma(u) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The FRS equation is an all-order integral equation for the fluctuation density of magnons $\sigma(u)$. It can be turned into an integral equation for the hole density $\rho(u)$. We shall show that this latter equation is better suited for the large- $j$ expansion, at least at the two loop level at which we work.

### 3.2 The all-loop FRS equation

We need a few definitions in order to write down the FRS equation. They are fully discussed in 25 and we summarize them here for completeness.

First, we define the BES kernel

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)=K_{0}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)+K_{1}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)+K_{d}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(J_{n}(t)\right.$ is the $n$-th Bessel function)

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{0}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)=\frac{t J_{1}(t) J_{0}\left(t^{\prime}\right)-t^{\prime} J_{0}(t) J_{1}\left(t^{\prime}\right)}{t^{2}-t^{\prime 2}}  \tag{3.6}\\
& K_{1}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)=\frac{t^{\prime} J_{1}(t) J_{0}\left(t^{\prime}\right)-t J_{0}(t) J_{1}\left(t^{\prime}\right)}{t^{2}-t^{\prime 2}}  \tag{3.7}\\
& K_{d}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)=8 g^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t^{\prime \prime} K_{1}\left(t, 2 g t^{\prime \prime}\right) \frac{t^{\prime \prime}}{e^{t^{\prime \prime}}-1} K_{0}\left(2 g t^{\prime \prime}, t^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

In this paper, we shall not need the dressing kernel $K_{d}$. Then, we define also the hole kernel

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{h}\left(t, t^{\prime} ; c\right) & =\frac{e^{\frac{t^{\prime}-t}{2}}}{4 \pi t} \int_{-c}^{c} d u \cos (t u) \cos \left(t^{\prime} u\right)=  \tag{3.9}\\
& =\frac{e^{\frac{t^{\prime}-t}{2}}}{2 \pi t} \frac{t \cos \left(c t^{\prime}\right) \sin (c t)-t^{\prime} \cos (c t) \sin \left(c t^{\prime}\right)}{t^{2}-t^{\prime 2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we define the full kernel $\mathcal{K}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{K}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)= & g^{2} K\left(2 g t, 2 g t^{\prime}\right)+K_{h}\left(t, t^{\prime} ; c\right)-\frac{J_{0}(2 g t)}{t} \frac{\sin c t^{\prime}}{2 \pi t^{\prime}} e^{\frac{t^{\prime}}{2}}  \tag{3.10}\\
& -4 g^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t^{\prime \prime} t^{\prime \prime} K\left(2 g t, 2 g t^{\prime \prime}\right) K_{h}\left(t^{\prime \prime}, t^{\prime} ; c\right)
\end{align*}
$$

After these preliminary definitions we are ready to write the FRS equation which holds for the Fourier transform of the (even) magnon fluctuation density $\sigma(u)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\sigma}(t)=e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d u e^{-i t u} \sigma(u)=2 e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d u \cos (t u) \sigma(u) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The all-loop FRS equation reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\sigma}(t)=\frac{t}{e^{t}-1}\left(\mathcal{K}(t, 0)-4 \int_{0}^{\infty} d t^{\prime} \mathcal{K}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \hat{\sigma}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $j$ parameter is related to the gap parameter $c$ by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
j=\frac{4 c}{\pi}-\frac{16}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t \frac{\sin c t}{t} e^{\frac{t}{2}} \hat{\sigma}(t) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generalized scaling function $f(g, j)$ has a rather complicated all-loop expression in terms of the solution to the FRS equation

$$
\begin{align*}
f(g, j)=8 g^{2}[ & -8 \int_{0}^{\infty} d t \frac{J_{1}(2 g t)}{2 g t} t K_{h}(t, 0 ; c)  \tag{3.14}\\
& \left.-8 \int_{0}^{\infty} d t \frac{J_{1}(2 g t)}{2 g t}\left(\sigma(t)-4 t \int_{0}^{\infty} d t^{\prime} K_{h}\left(t, t^{\prime} ; c\right) \hat{\sigma}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

As shown in 25], this can also be written more simply as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(g, j)=j+16 \hat{\sigma}(0) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. The two-loop hole density equation in $u$-space

The FRS equation can be rewritten in $u$-space by Fourier analyzing eq. (3.12). We did the analysis up to the two loop level. After some manipulations we arrive at the following result where we use the notation of appendix (A) for the $G_{a}$ functions

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho(u)= & \frac{2}{\pi j}-\frac{1}{2 \pi} G_{1 / 2}(u)+\int_{-c}^{c} \frac{d v}{2 \pi} G_{0}(u-v) \rho(v)+  \tag{4.1}\\
& +g^{2}\left[-\frac{1}{2 \pi} G_{1 / 2}^{\prime \prime}(u)-\frac{\pi}{4 j \cosh ^{2}(\pi u)} \gamma_{1}\left[\rho^{(0)}\right]\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(g^{4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

In this equation, $\rho^{(0)}$ is the one-loop term in the weak coupling expansion of the hole density

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(u)=\rho^{(0)}(u)+g^{2} \rho^{(1)}(u)+\mathcal{O}\left(g^{4}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the functional $\gamma_{1}[\rho]$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}[\rho]=8+2 j \int_{-c}^{c}\left[G_{1 / 2}(u)+2 \gamma_{\mathrm{E}}\right] \rho(u) d u \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generalized scaling function turns out to have the following explicit expression

$$
\begin{align*}
f(g, j)= & g^{2} \gamma_{1}[\rho]  \tag{4.4}\\
& +g^{4}\left[8 j\left(-\zeta_{3}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{24 j} \gamma_{1}\left[\rho^{(0)}\right]\right)-j \int_{-c}^{c}\left[-G_{1 / 2}^{\prime \prime}(u)+4 \zeta_{3}\right] \rho(u) d u\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(g^{6}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The one-loop terms in eqs. (4.1), (4.4) are of course identical to those already written in [25]. As a check of the two-loops terms, one can compute the small $j$ expansion of eq. (4.1) and reproduces perfectly the results of [25] for the generalized scaling function at two loops. Also, the two-loop expression of the gap in this regime is

$$
\begin{align*}
c(g, j)= & j\left(\frac{\pi}{4}+g^{2} \frac{\pi^{3}}{4}\right)+  \tag{4.5}\\
& +j^{2}\left(-\frac{\pi}{4} \log 2+\frac{g^{2}}{4}\left(-3 \pi^{3} \log 2+7 \pi \zeta_{3}\right)\right)+ \\
& +j^{3}\left(\frac{\pi}{4} \log ^{2} 2+\frac{g^{2}}{192}\left(-\pi^{7}+240 \pi^{3} \log ^{2} 2-672 \pi \log 2 \zeta_{3}\right)\right)+ \\
& +\mathcal{O}\left(j^{4}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

## 5. NNLO large- $j$ expansion of the one-loop FRS equation

We now work out the next-to-next-to-leading (NNLO) large-j expansion of the one-loop hole density equation and generalized scaling function. This is feasible and gives the value of the leading term in eq. (2.11). This is a confirmation of the calculation described in (24) obtained independently in the large- $j$ FRS context. Also, we find additional information on the density profile as well as on the dependence of the gap on $j \gg 1$.

Using the notation of appendix $(\mathbb{A})$, the one-loop hole density $\rho(u)$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(u)=\frac{2}{\pi j}-\frac{1}{2 \pi} G_{1 / 2}(u)+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-c}^{c} d v G_{0}(u-v) \rho(v), \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the normalization condition relating $c$ and $j$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-c}^{c} \rho(u) d u=1 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The one loop contribution to the generalized scaling function is simply $\left(\psi(z)=\frac{d}{d z} \log \Gamma(z)\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(1)}(j)=8+2 j \int_{-c}^{c} d u\left[G_{1 / 2}(u)-2 \psi(1)\right] \rho(u) . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.1 Leading order

If $j \rightarrow \infty$ we expect $c \rightarrow \infty$. In this limit and using the normalization condition, we can write the density equation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(u)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d v\left[G_{0}(u-v)-G_{1 / 2}(u)\right] \rho(v) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now recall the useful integral representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} d t \frac{e^{\frac{t}{2}}-\cos (t y)}{e^{t}-1} \cos (t x)=\frac{1}{4}\left(G_{0}(x-y)+G_{0}(x+y)-2 G_{1 / 2}(x)\right) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\rho(u)=\rho(-u)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(u)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d v \int_{0}^{\infty} d t \frac{e^{\frac{t}{2}}-\cos (t v)}{e^{t}-1} \cos (t u) \rho(v) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing the Fourier transform

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\rho}(t) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d u e^{i t u} \rho(u)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d u \cos (t u) \rho(u)  \tag{5.7}\\
\rho(u) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t e^{-i t u} \widetilde{\rho}(t)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t \cos (t u) \widetilde{\rho}(t) \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(u)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t \frac{e^{\frac{t}{2}}-\widetilde{\rho}(t)}{e^{t}-1} \cos (t u) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\rho}(t)=\frac{e^{\frac{t}{2}}-\widetilde{\rho}(t)}{e^{t}-1}, \quad \longrightarrow \quad \widetilde{\rho}(t)=e^{-\frac{|t|}{2}} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we do not restrict $t$ to be positive. Hence, at leading order,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{u^{2}+\frac{1}{4}} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The contribution to the scaling function is easily evaluated. We start from

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1 / 2}(u)-2 \psi(1)=2 \int_{0}^{\infty} d t \frac{e^{-t}-e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \cos (t u)}{1-e^{-t}} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d u \int_{0}^{\infty} d t \frac{e^{-t}-e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \cos (t u)}{1-e^{-t}} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{u^{2}+\frac{1}{4}}=\int_{0}^{\infty} d t \frac{e^{-t}-e^{-\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{1-e^{-t}}=0 \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{(1)}(j) & =0 \cdot j+\mathcal{O}(1)  \tag{5.14}\\
c & =\mathcal{O}(j) \tag{5.15}
\end{align*}
$$

and the dominant term linear in $j$ cancels. The constant 8 contribution to $f^{(1)}(j)$ is included in the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ terms.

### 5.2 Next-to-leading order

The expansion at large $j$ is not trivial and is better performed in $u$-space. Let us write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(u)=\rho_{0}(u)+\delta \rho(u), \quad \rho_{0}(u)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{u^{2}+\frac{1}{4}} \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The density equation can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \rho(u)=\frac{2}{\pi j}-\int_{|v|>c} \frac{d v}{2 \pi} G_{0}(u-v) \rho_{0}(v)+\int_{-c}^{c} \frac{d v}{2 \pi} G_{0}(u-v) \delta \rho(v) . \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rescaling $u=c x, v=c y$, the first integral can be uniformly expanded as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|v|>c} \frac{d v}{2 \pi} G_{0}(u-v) \rho_{0}(v)=\frac{\log c}{c \pi^{2}}+\frac{1}{c} \Phi(x)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^{3}}\right) \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x)=\frac{(1+x) \log (1+x)-(1-x) \log (1-x)}{2 \pi^{2} x} \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This suggest to set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \rho(u)=\frac{1}{c^{2}} \rho_{1}\left(\frac{u}{c}\right)+\cdots \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The density equation is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 c}{\pi j}-\frac{\log c}{\pi^{2}}-\Phi\left(\frac{u}{c}\right)+\frac{1}{c} \int_{-c}^{c} \frac{d v}{2 \pi} G_{0}(u-v) \rho_{1}\left(\frac{u}{c}\right)=0 \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of $x, y$ it is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 c}{\pi j}-\frac{\log c}{\pi^{2}}-\Phi(x)+\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d y}{2 \pi} G_{0}(c(x-y)) \rho_{1}(y)=0 \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{0}(c x) & =2 \log c+2 \log |x|+\cdots  \tag{5.23}\\
c & =\alpha j+\cdots \tag{5.24}
\end{align*}
$$

with an undetermined constant $\alpha$, we arrive at the singular problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} d y \log |x-y| \rho_{1}(y) & =\Phi(x)-\frac{2 \alpha}{\pi}  \tag{5.25}\\
\int_{-1}^{1} d y \rho_{1}(y) & =\frac{1}{\pi} \tag{5.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that the normalization of $\rho_{1}$ is also consistently predicted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\int_{-c}^{c} d u\left[\rho_{0}(u)+\frac{1}{c^{2}} \rho_{1}\left(\frac{u}{c}\right)\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^{2}}\right) \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, evaluating the elementary integral involving $\rho_{0}$, reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=1+\frac{1}{c}\left[-\frac{1}{\pi}+\int_{-1}^{1} d x \rho_{1}(x)\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^{2}}\right) \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and leads to eq. (5.26). The constant $\alpha$ can be determined dividing by $\sqrt{1-x^{2}}$ and integrating. The key result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} d x \frac{\log |x-y|}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}=-\pi \log 2 \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with the elementary integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d x}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}=\pi \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} d x \frac{\Phi(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\log 2}{\pi} \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\log 2}{\pi}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\log 2}{\pi}-2 \alpha, \quad \longrightarrow \quad \alpha=\frac{1}{4} \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of the integral equation with logarithmic kernel is standard. By taking a derivative it is reduced to a finite Hilbert transform problem and the solution is [46]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}(x)=\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}\left[1+f_{-1}^{1} d y \sqrt{1-y^{2}} \frac{\pi \Phi^{\prime}(y)}{y-x}\right] \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evaluating the principal integral we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} \frac{1}{1+\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} \tag{5.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The evaluation of the generalized scaling function can be done as follows. We want to compute

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{-c}^{c} d u\left[G_{1 / 2}(u)+2 \gamma_{E}\right]\left(\rho_{0}(u)+\frac{1}{c^{2}} \rho_{1}(u / c)+\cdots\right)  \tag{5.35}\\
& =\int_{-c}^{c} d u\left[G_{1 / 2}(u)+2 \gamma_{E}\right] \rho_{0}(u)+\frac{1}{c} \int_{-1}^{1} d x\left[G_{1 / 2}(c u)+2 \gamma_{E}\right] \rho_{1}(x)+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

The first integral reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(c)=\int_{-c}^{c} d u \frac{\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}+i u\right)+\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}-i u\right)+2 \gamma_{E}}{2 \pi\left(u^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right)} \tag{5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know that $F(\infty)=0$. Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(c)=\frac{\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}+i c\right)+\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}-i c\right)+2 \gamma_{E}}{\pi\left(c^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right)}=\frac{2}{\pi c^{2}}\left(\log c+\gamma_{E}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^{4}}\right) \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(c)=-\frac{2}{\pi c}\left(1+\gamma_{E}+\log c\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^{3}}\right) \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second integral is for large $c$

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{-1}^{1} d x & {\left[G_{1 / 2}(c u)+2 \gamma_{E}\right] \rho_{1}(x)=}  \tag{5.39}\\
& =2\left(\gamma_{E}+\log c\right) \int_{-1}^{1} d x \rho_{1}(x)+2 \int_{-1}^{1} d x \log |x| \rho_{1}(x)  \tag{5.40}\\
& =\frac{2\left(\gamma_{E}+\log c\right)}{\pi}+2 \pi\left(\Phi(0)-\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)=\frac{2\left(\gamma_{E}+\log c\right)}{\pi}+\frac{2}{\pi}-1 \tag{5.41}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-c}^{c} d u\left[G_{1 / 2}(u)+2 \gamma_{E}\right]\left(\rho_{0}(u)+\frac{1}{c^{2}} \rho_{1}(u / c)+\cdots\right)=-\frac{1}{c}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^{3}}\right) . \tag{5.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{(1)}(j) & =8+0 \cdot j+\frac{2}{\alpha}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c}\right)=0 \cdot j+0+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{j}\right),  \tag{5.43}\\
c & =\frac{1}{4} j+\text { subleading. } \tag{5.44}
\end{align*}
$$

### 5.3 Next-to-next-to-leading order

Expanding further the density equation with the position

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \rho(u)=\frac{1}{c^{2}} \rho_{1}\left(\frac{u}{c}\right)+\frac{1}{c^{3}} \rho_{2}\left(\frac{u}{c}\right)+\cdots, \tag{5.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using the results in appendix, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{c^{2}} \rho_{1}(x)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^{3}}\right)=\frac{2}{\pi j}-\frac{\log c}{\pi^{2} c}-\frac{1}{c} \Phi(x)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^{3}}\right)+  \tag{5.46}\\
& \quad+c \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d y}{\pi}\left(\log c+\log |x-y|+\frac{\pi}{2 c} \delta(x-y)+\cdots\right)\left(\frac{1}{c^{2}} \rho_{1}(y)+\frac{1}{c^{3}} \rho_{2}(y)+\cdots\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We assume the following general expansion of the gap

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\frac{j}{4}+\beta \log j+\gamma+\cdots, \tag{5.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
j=4 c-4 \beta \log c-8 \beta \log 2-4 \gamma+\cdots . \tag{5.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{\pi j}=\frac{1}{2 \pi c}+\left(\frac{\gamma}{2 \pi}+\frac{\beta \log 2}{\pi}+\frac{\beta \log c}{2 \pi}\right) \frac{1}{c^{2}}+\cdots \tag{5.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The normalization condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\int_{-c}^{c} d u\left[\rho_{0}(u)+\frac{1}{c^{2}} \rho_{1}\left(\frac{u}{c}\right)+\frac{1}{c^{3}} \rho_{2}\left(\frac{u}{c}\right)\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^{4}}\right), \tag{5.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} d x \rho_{2}(x)=0 \tag{5.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relevant terms in the density equation are thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} d x \log |x-y| \rho_{2}(y)=\frac{1}{2} \rho_{1}(x)-\frac{\gamma}{2 \pi}-\frac{\beta \log 2}{\pi}-\frac{\beta \log c}{2 \pi} . \tag{5.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

The appearance of the logarithmic term $\log c$ is tricky and can be understood as follows. Dividing the above equation by $\sqrt{1-x^{2}}$ and integrating between -1 and 1 we find using the normalization of $\rho_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} d x \frac{\rho_{1}(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}-\frac{\gamma}{2}-\beta \log 2-\frac{\beta \log c}{2} . \tag{5.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the $\rho_{1}$ function has the following behavior for $|x| \rightarrow 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}(x) \sim \frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{1-x^{2}}} . \tag{5.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the integral in eq. (5.53) is singular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} d x \frac{\rho_{1}(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d x}{1-x^{2}}=\infty \tag{5.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

The most singular and universal term is evaluated by integrating over $[-1+\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon]$ and identifying $\varepsilon \sim 1 / c$. The logarithmic singularity is not ambiguous and reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} d x \frac{\rho_{1}(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}=\frac{\log c}{4 \pi}+\text { less singular. } \tag{5.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\frac{1}{2 \pi} . \tag{5.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Apart from this, we shall not attempt to determine more precisely the function $\rho_{2}$ nor the constant $\gamma$ which we shall not need in the end. Instead, we notice the important relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} d x \log |y| \rho_{2}(y)=\frac{1}{2} \rho_{1}(0)-\frac{\gamma}{2 \pi}-\frac{\beta \log 2}{\pi}-\frac{\beta \log c}{2 \pi} \tag{5.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Computing the central value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}(0)=\frac{1}{4 \pi}, \tag{5.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

we thus obtain a crucial piece in the determination of the anomalous dimension at NNLO

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} d x \log |y| \rho_{2}(y)=\frac{1}{8}-\frac{\gamma}{2}-\beta \log 2-\frac{\beta \log c}{2} . \tag{5.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we look back to the expression determining the anomalous dimension, we see that all the terms from $\rho_{0}$ are already at the precision of NNLO. Also, the integral involving $\rho_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} d x\left[G_{1 / 2}(c x)+2 \gamma_{E}\right] \rho_{1}(x) \tag{5.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the results in the appendix, the expansion of $G_{1 / 2}$ has no $\delta$-term and is also already at the NNLO precision. The missing piece is (using again the normalization of $\rho_{2}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{-1}^{1} d x\left[G_{1 / 2}(c x)+2 \gamma_{E}\right] \rho_{2}(x)  \tag{5.62}\\
& \quad=2\left(\gamma_{E}+\log c\right) \int_{-1}^{1} d x \rho_{2}(x)+2 \int_{-1}^{1} d x \log |x| \rho_{2}(x)  \tag{5.63}\\
& \quad=2 \int_{-1}^{1} d x \log |x| \rho_{2}(x)=\frac{1}{4}-\gamma-2 \beta \log 2-\beta \log c . \tag{5.64}
\end{align*}
$$

Expanding in powers of $j$ the combination

$$
\begin{equation*}
8+2 j\left(-\frac{1}{c}+\frac{1}{c^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{4}-\gamma-2 \beta \log 2-\beta \log c\right)\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^{3}}\right) \tag{5.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{(1)}(j) & =0 \cdot j+0+\frac{8}{j}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{j^{2}}\right)  \tag{5.66}\\
c & =\frac{1}{4} j+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log j+\mathcal{O}(1) \tag{5.67}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice also that eq. (5.66) is completely independent on both $\beta$ and $\gamma$ that, to be honest, deserve a full determination at next order.

## 6. Large- $j$ expansion of the two loops FRS equation: LO and remarks

The leading order calculation is very simple. We start from the surviving terms for $j \rightarrow \infty$ and at this order we can set $c=\infty$. The equation to be solved is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(u)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d v\left[G_{0}(u-v)-G_{1 / 2}(u)-g^{2} G_{1 / 2}^{\prime \prime}(u)\right] \rho(v) . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A Fourier analysis completely similar to the one discussed for the one-loop case gives the interesting result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(u)=\frac{2}{\pi\left(1+4 u^{2}\right)}-\frac{16}{\pi} \frac{1-12 u^{2}}{\left(1+4 u^{2}\right)^{3}} g^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(g^{4}\right) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral of the two-loop correction vanishes and one easily proves the cancellation of $\mathcal{O}(j)$ terms in the expression of $f^{(2)}(j)$. The NLO correction is also similar to the oneloop case and one proves the cancellation of $\mathcal{O}(j)$ terms in the gap as well as cancellation of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ terms in $f^{(2)}(j)$. We did not push the calculation further since we expect that $f^{(2)}(j)=\mathcal{O}\left(1 / j^{3}\right)$ which means that we need a $\mathrm{N}^{4} \mathrm{LO}$ calculation! For this reason we shall discuss in the next section a fully numerical determination of the two terms in eq. (2.12). In perspective, this shows that beyond one-loop more sophisticated analytical tools are necessary instead of the brute-force one-loop analysis.


Figure 1: Convergence of the one-loop contribution $f^{(1)}(j)$ at $j=10$ as the number of discretized points $N$ in $u$-space is increased.

## 7. Numerical study of the hole density equation

We look for a numerical determination of the one and two loop densities in eq. (4.2). We need also to expand the gap

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=c^{(0)}+g^{2} c^{(1)}+\mathcal{O}\left(g^{4}\right) . \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 7.1 One loop

The numerical problem at one-loop is the solution of the non-singular integral equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho^{(0)}(u) & =\frac{2}{\pi j}-\frac{1}{2 \pi} G_{1 / 2}(u)+\int_{-c^{(0)}}^{c^{(0)}} \frac{d v}{2 \pi} G_{0}(u-v) \rho^{(0)}(v) d v,  \tag{7.2}\\
1 & =\int_{-c^{(0)}}^{c^{(0)}} \rho^{(0)}(u) d u . \tag{7.3}
\end{align*}
$$

To this aim, we fix $c^{(0)}$ and discretize the $u$ space evaluating the integral by the Boole's rule. The integral equation becomes a linear problem which can be solved very efficiently and with high accuracy. The resulting density is plugged in the area constraint and $c^{(0)}$ is determined by bisection. All the procedure must be repeated with smaller and smaller lattice spacings until convergence is achieved. The good convergence is shown in figure (1) at $j=10$.


Figure 2: One loop hole density from the numerical integration of the FRS equation at the two values of the $j$ parameter $j=10,30$ and $j=60$. In the bottom-right panel, we show a detailed view of the case $j=60$ where one can appreciate the difference with respect to the lowest order density.

As a first result, we show in figure (2) the one loop hole density from the numerical integration of the FRS equation at the three values of the $j$ parameter $j=10,30$ and $j=60$. The density is progressively better represented by the LO analytical expression as $j$ increases. One notices that the tails of the density, near the boundary of the gap interval, show an interesting small rising. This is precisely captured by the NLO solution as shown in figure (3). In that figure, we show the numerical density after subtraction of the analytical LO contribution. For the $j=30,60$ we also superimpose the analytical NLO solution. It is a rather good approximation, although the finite $j$ data cannot show the divergence on the boundary $u= \pm c$. As a further check, we also show, in the $j=30$ panel, the numerical solution of the logarithmically singular equation eq. (5.25) that determines $\rho_{1}$. The agreement with eq. (5.34) is of course perfect.

The dependence of the gap on $j$ is illustrated in figure (4) where we subtract out the leading contribution $j / 4$ in order to better display the subleading terms. Indeed, a non-trivial reminder can be seen which is very well fitted by the heuristic logarithmic term eq. (5.57) discussed previously.

Finally, we show in figure (5) the numerical computation of the generalized scaling function at one-loop. The data are very well reproduced by the NLO prediction eq. (2.11). We also show that the LO prediction is not enough to reproduce the numerics. This is


Figure 3: We show $\rho_{1}$ which is the one loop hole density from the numerical integration of the FRS equation minus the analytical lowest order expression of the density. The remaining curves should give at large $j$ the NLO correction computed in the text. For $j=30$, we superimpose the analytical expression of $\rho_{1}$ (Hilbert transform label) and the numerical solution of the logarithmically singular integral equation that determines it (log. integral equation label). For $j=60$ we show the numerical data and the Hilbert transform result.
a confirmation of the NLO contribution. By the way, one can also make a general 2 or 3 -parameter fit to predict a priori the two coefficients and of course they are matched with good precision below the $0.1 \%$ level.

### 7.2 Two loops

The two loop equation for $\rho^{(1)}$ and the constraint on $c^{(1)}$ are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho^{(1)}(u)=\int_{-c^{(0)}}^{c^{(0)}} \frac{d v}{2 \pi} G_{0}(u-v) \rho^{(1)}(v) d v-\frac{1}{2 \pi} G_{1 / 2}^{\prime \prime}(u)-\frac{\pi}{4 j \cosh ^{2}(\pi u)} \gamma_{1}\left[\rho^{(0)}\right]+ \\
+\frac{1}{2 \pi} c^{(1)} \rho^{(0)}\left(c^{(0)}\right)\left[G_{0}\left(u-c^{(0)}\right)+G_{0}\left(u+c^{(0)}\right)\right]  \tag{7.4}\\
2 \rho^{(0)}\left(c^{(0)}\right) c^{(1)}+\int_{-c^{(0)}}^{c^{(0)}} \rho^{(1)}(u) d u=0 \tag{7.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

By discretization, the first equation is a linear problem where we have to insert various quantities computed in the solution of the one-loop problem. This must be done with a fixed $c^{(1)}$ which is then evaluated by bisection to impose the second constraint.

The two-loop contribution to the density profile is illustrated in figure (6). Apart from remarkably small corrections, the LO expression captures essentially the numerical data. The gap is shown in figure (7) where we confirm that the two loop contribution starts $\mathcal{O}(1 / j)$. We have fitted the numerical results with a 3 -parameter fit. The leading term is


Figure 4: One loop gap as a function of $j$. We show the numerical data minus the leading order contribution. We also superimpose a fit with the heuristic logarithmic contribution discussed in the text plus an additional subleading constant.
very accurately $6 / j$. In the figure, we show the result of a 2 -parameter fit with the leading term fixed at that value.

Finally, in figure ( 8 ), we show the two loop generalized scaling function. As in the oneloop case, one can predict the coefficients. The result is in perfect agreement with eq. (2.12). This is best illustrated by superimposing the NLO curve which reproduces numerical data very well. Again, one can try to see the accuracy of the LO term alone and the figure shows that it is not enough. This means that our computation strongly suggest the validity of the expansion eq. (2.12).

## 8. Conclusions

In this paper, we have exploited a very simple remark, i.e. the observation that the large- $j$ limit of the FRS equation can be used to capture the fast spinning long string limit of $A d S_{5} \times S^{5}$ superstring perturbation theory. Indeed, in this limit, the string energy can be expanded in inverse powers of $j$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
E & =M+L+f(\lambda, j) \log M+\mathcal{O}\left(M^{0}\right),  \tag{8.1}\\
f(\lambda, j) & =\sum_{n \geq 1} C_{n}(\lambda) j^{-n} . \tag{8.2}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 5: One loop generalized scaling function. We show the numerical data and superimpose two curves with the NLO and LO analytical expression.

In many respects, the coefficients $C_{n}(\lambda)$ are similar to the more studied scaling function and its generalizations which are obtained by expanding $f(g, j)$ around $j=0$. Indeed, the various $C_{n}(\lambda)$ are defined for all $\lambda$ by the above relation and can be computed at any $\lambda$, weak or strong, by taking the large- $j$ limit of the FRS equation. As we explained, the large- $j$ limit is quite natural from the point of view of string perturbation theory where the two regimes of slow and fast long string emerges quite symmetrically and are associated with $j \ll 1$ or $j \gg 1$.

As a first attempt to study the large- $j$ limit of the FRS equation, we have described in this paper what can be learned in the weakly coupled gauge theory. This regime has a non vanishing overlap with the string calculation since part of the $C_{n}(\lambda)$ coefficients is protected leading to a prediction from string theory valid also at small $\lambda$.

At one-loop in the gauge theory, we can match the result eq. (2.11). This is not a new check since eq. (2.11) has already been obtained by working out the finite size corrections to the integrable $X X X_{-1 / 2}$ spin chain [24]. Nevertheless, this is an important check of the approach and several interesting new details are uncovered. In particular, we have obtained various results concerning the large- $j$ Bethe roots density and gap dependence.

At two-loops in the gauge theory, we can match eq. (2.12). This is an interesting check first proposed in [33] and never verified. We did not work it out in a fully analytical way, but have shown that a numerical approach is feasible and strongly supports a perfect agreement. In principle, an analogous study could be carried over to test the three loop


Figure 6: Two loop hole density from the numerical integration of the FRS equation at the two values of the $j$ parameter $j=10$ and $j=30$. These curves are almost coincident with the leading order density.
result eq. (2.13).
Clearly, the most interesting development of our analysis is to compute the strong coupling expansion of $C_{n}(\lambda)$ from the FRS equation. It would be very interesting to investigate whether the effective techniques developed in [19, 28] for $j \ll 1$ can also be applied to the large- $j$ case.
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## A. Technical results about the combinations $\psi(a+i x)+\psi(a-i x)$

Let us consider the function $\left(\psi(z)=\frac{d}{d z} \log \Gamma(z)\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{a}(x)=\psi(a+i x)+\psi(a-i x), \quad a, x \in \mathbb{R}, \text { and } a>0 \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $G_{a}(x)$ is real. Due to a special reflection property of the $\psi(z)$ function, one has the remarkable identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}(x)=\psi(i x)+\psi(-i x) . \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 7: Two loop gap. We show the numerical data and superimpose a reasonable 2 -terms fit including the leading order whose coefficient is very accurately reproduced by a would-be 3 -terms fit.

Hence, it will be convenient to extend the definition eq. (A.1) to the case $a=0$ by understanding

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}(x) \equiv G_{1}(x) \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the integral representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(z)+\gamma_{E}=\int_{0}^{\infty} d s \frac{e^{-s}-e^{-z s}}{1-e^{-s}}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(z)>0 \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can obtain the remarkable definite integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{a}(x)-2 \log |x|=-2 \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{e^{-a s}}{1-e^{-s}}-\frac{1}{s}\right) \cos (s x) . \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that the following Fourier transform holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}\left\{G_{a}(x)-2 \log |x|\right\}=-2 \pi\left(\frac{e^{-a|t|}}{1-e^{-|t|}}-\frac{1}{|t|}\right) . \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, using the above reflection identity, we have for $a=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}\left\{G_{0}(x)-2 \log |x|\right\}=-2 \pi\left(\frac{1}{e^{|t|}-1}-\frac{1}{|t|}\right) . \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 8: Two loop generalized scaling function. We show the numerical data and superimpose two curves with the NLO and LO analytical expression.

If we now want to compute the asymptotic expansion for $c \rightarrow \infty$ of the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{-1}^{1} d x G_{a}(c x) \rho(x) \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we simply add and subtract a logarithm and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=2 \log c \int_{-1}^{1} d x \rho(x)+2 \int_{-1}^{1} d x \log |x| \rho(x)+\int_{-1}^{1} d x\left(G_{a}(c x)-\log (c|x|)\right) \rho(x) \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first term in the asymptotic expansion of the last integral is obtained by writing it as the integral of the Fourier transforms of $G_{a}(c x)-\log (c|x|)$ and $\rho$ and expanding the above results. We can compactly write the result as the distributional identity

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{0}(c x) & =2 \log c+2 \log |x|+\frac{\pi}{c} \delta(x)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^{2}}\right)  \tag{A.10}\\
G_{\frac{1}{2}}(c x) & =2 \log c+2 \log |x|+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^{2}}\right) \tag{A.11}
\end{align*}
$$

The $\delta$-term in the $a=0$ case is quite important for the discussion of the main text.
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